

Challenging Organisations and Society

reflective hybrids®

Leadership That Counts

Editors: Tom Brown and Gary Wagenheim

Tom Brown and Gary Wagenheim

Editorial

Leadership That Counts

page 930

Alice MacGillivray and Anne Litwin

Are We There Yet?

**Are Perceptions of Results Shaped
by Gender?**

page 936

Huw Jones and Keith Hunter

**A Reflective Hybrid Approach to
Connecting Leadership Style and
Stakeholder Perspective**

page 950

Larry Green

**The Metaphor as Stepping Stone:
Navigating Postmodern Uncertainty**

page 965

Leslie Varley

**The Challenge of Indigenous
Leadership within Mainstream
Organizations**

page 976

Heesoon Bai, David Chang, and Avraham Cohen

**When the Immeasurable Leads:
A Pedagogical Dialogue**

page 988

Christian Stary

**Handling the Intangible – An
Introspective on Structural Pressure**

page 999

Journal "Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids® (COS)"

COS is the first journal to be dedicated to the rapidly growing requirements of reflective hybrids in our complex 21st-century organisations and society. Its international and multidisciplinary approaches balance theory and practice and show a wide range of perspectives in and between organisations and society. Being global and diverse in thinking and acting outside the box are the targets for its authors and readers in management, consulting and science.

Editor-in-Chief: Maria Spindler (AT)
email: m.spindler@cos-journal.com

Deputy Editors-in-Chief: Gary Wagenheim (CA), Tonnie van der Zouwen (NL)

Editorial Board: Ann Feyerherm (US), Ilse Schritteser (AT), Maria Spindler (AT), Chris Stary (AT), Gary Wagenheim (CA), Nancy Wallis (US), Tonnie van der Zouwen (NL)

Guest Editor: Tom Brown

Reviewers: François Breuer, Tom Brown, Silvia Ettl Huber, Jeff Haldeman, Ann Feyerherm, Russell Kerkhoven, Larissa Krainer, Marlies Lenglachner, Ruth Lerchster, Barbara Lesjak, Annette Ostendorf, Richard Pircher, Ilse Schritteser, Claudia Schuchard, Maria Spindler, Christian Stary, Martin Steger, Thomas Stephenson, Martina Ukowitz, Gary Wagenheim, Nancy Wallis, Tonnie van der Zouwen

Proofreading: Deborah Starkey

Layout: www.kronsteiner-lohmer.at

Terms of Publication: Before publication authors are requested to assign copyright to "Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids®". Beginning one year after initial publication in "Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids®" authors have the right to reuse their papers in other publications. Authors are responsible for obtaining permission from copyright holders for reproducing any illustrations, figures, tables, etc. previously published elsewhere. Authors will receive an e-mailed proof of their articles and a copy of the final version.

Disclaimer: The authors, editors, and publisher take no legal responsibility for errors or omissions that may be made in this issue. The publisher makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the material contained herein.

Copyright: COS . reflective hybrids®, Vienna 2016

Leslie Varley

The Challenge of Indigenous Leadership within Mainstream Organizations

Abstract

In this paper I examine Indigenous leadership styles in relation to mainstream leadership styles. I use my own experiences within mainstream organizations as examples. Leadership styles set the tone for meetings and for shaping the nature of participant engagement. By examining typical mainstream approaches and Indigenous approaches to leadership, we can better understand and appreciate their impact, especially in cross-cultural contexts. Mainstream (white privileged and settler privileged) readers can gain an understanding of leadership from outside their cultural lens, by critically analyzing and reflecting on their own leadership norms. With enhanced critical self-reflection we can be more open to understanding and appreciating different leadership styles including those of Indigenous people.

Keywords: Indigenous leadership, Indigenous lens, critical self-reflection, cultural safety

1 Introduction

Mainstream organizations are currently hiring Indigenous leaders for their knowledge, skills, and for expertise, but measure Indigenous leaders by mainstream values, norms and behaviours. Advancing Indigenous wellness within Canada has been my lifelong commitment. I am an Indigenous woman belonging to the Nisga'a Nation on the northwest coast of British Columbia, living and working within Coast Salish territory in Victoria, Canada. As a decolonizing change agent I advocate for Indigenous peoples' inclusion in Canadian social, justice and health systems. Within the health sector I advocated for change to unexamined colonial practices that negatively

impact Indigenous people's wellness. Indigenous leadership approaches and processes involve a front loading of process, time and expense. This investment can lead to outstanding outcomes, but can feel counterintuitive for my mainstream colleagues. Similarly, Indigenous people have frustration with mainstream practices in many areas. My own experience was that while I was recruited for my Indigenous skills, knowledge and values, I was measured by mainstream leadership norms.

This article is intended for mainstream leaders who want to be inclusive and respectful leaders and allies to Indigenous people. My interest is to contribute to challenging leaders to decolonize leadership practices through critical self-reflection so that Canadians can appreciate and embrace Indigenous leadership styles.

Throughout my career I have been acutely aware of the different behavioural norms between Indigenous and mainstream leaders. My experiences are not unique; I have witnessed many of my Indigenous colleagues' frustrations with navigating mainstream leadership behaviours and norms. Of course, these behaviours are not unique to one race or culture. Starting with how meetings are conducted, through to innovation methods and decision making, to debriefing and reflection, I demonstrate how leadership approaches at each stage can deeply influence final outcomes. I provide examples in the table below, and elaborate with illustrations from my own experience living as a racialized Indigenous woman.

2 Participating in meetings

A common experience for Indigenous people is the lack of space to join in mainstream dialogue. I took part in group meetings over several years¹ where we brought dissimilar programs and agencies together to find ways to increase health access for underserved populations and to achieve health

¹ For several years I participated in health committees where multiple agency leaders were tasked to find ways to reduce health inequities.

equity by finding innovative ways to collaborate across agencies and programs. Near the end of many meetings someone would say, “Leslie, you’ve been so quiet. Do you have anything to add?”

Actually, I had questions about process and issues we seem to have actively ignored. My participation devolved to uncomfortable silence, witnessing group dynamics that were difficult for me to navigate. I observed behaviours that were hierarchical, forceful, and positional. People were interrupting, talking over each other, and pulling rank. Meetings often defaulted to one or two leaders dominating. While health equity and inclusion was our intention, we didn’t practice it ourselves. We feared losing power, funding or control, and this drove our pursuit of individual agendas that reinforced the status quo.

Indigenous conversation includes silence as a natural aspect of dialogue, a reflective moment between speakers when comments are appreciatively considered. These moments are rare in Canadian dialogue, leaving me fewer opportunities to speak. Others are faster to fill the silence. Culturally it is very rude for me to cut someone off or interrupt. Sometimes in response to the specific invitation to speak, I would point out how the decision we were about to land on wouldn’t address our equity objective. I usually received this response, *‘Oh, good point. However, in the interests of time (or budget or compromise), we need to move on.’*

In west coast Indigenous cultures we are trained to listen, read body language, to hear the unspoken words. Good speakers regularly include long pauses. Because we work at being diplomatic, relational and collaborative, shouting, bullying and being highly positional are unusual. We collectively and actively ignore negative behaviours resulting in social exclusion for the offender. This practice only works if the offender understands and accepts our norms. To be clear, colonization has impacted our culture: not everyone abides by these rules.

My Indigenous socialization makes it difficult to participate in dialogue that is full of interruptions. I miss opportunities to influence outcomes. Indigenous approaches don't always work when applied within mainstream society. My ignoring dominating and interrupting colleagues doesn't work if they don't think or care that they have behaved badly. My culturally based tactic of ignoring bad behaviour was ineffective because my colleagues might not notice or care if they felt excluded by one person.

A colleague asked me for advice about an Indigenous colleague who was becoming less communicative with him. He spoke at length, asking me a series of questions he assured me I could answer later. After thirty minutes he finally stopped, waiting expectantly for my response. I diplomatically suggested an interactive dialogue, rather than his interrogation. While he understood, he never changed his approach.

I wanted to help him to learn to self-reflect in areas where he was blind to his own white privileged behaviours and assumptions. Instead, he kept dominating our conversations, so our relationship degenerated from mutual appreciation to indifference. Like my Indigenous colleague, I began to avoid him. In doing so, I missed an opportunity to influence a program he was developing. With the best of intentions, I and my Indigenous colleagues identified a particular patronizing and colonial tone within the product he developed. Neither he nor many of our mainstream colleagues seemed able to see it.

Indigenous leaders are constantly being required to interpret behaviours, theories, policies, practices and decisions by using both Indigenous and mainstream lenses. To be the only person in the group to view issues through multiple lenses is challenging. It is deeply frustrating when leaders commit to equity and addressing social determinants, but seek the 'easy wins' and 'low hanging fruit' which have little impact on Indigenous health outcomes. Indeed, it was a surprise for me to finally realize that the very leaders that taught me the concepts of health equity theory had no real understanding

of how to actually apply it meaningfully. I was usually the only person at the table with actual experiences of inequity.

Two Indigenous colleagues and I were invited to meet three mainstream leaders to discuss inequities. For the first half hour we listened to our colleagues quarrelling and interrupting each other. It was as if they forgot we were there. It was awkward for us to try to get into the conversation; we were embarrassed to have to cut someone off. After this meeting we practiced interrupting and developed a signal. We laughed at the utter ridiculousness of three highly educated professional Indigenous women having to practice interrupting.

At another meeting I became so frustrated with the nonstop talking that I lost my patience. I interrupted, called them on their behavior, describing them as bullying, controlling, arrogant and rude. I was experiencing what Mezirow (2000) describes as a *disorienting dilemma* where the crisis of my not being able to find space to speak had become so acute that I became very rude. My shocked colleagues reflected their meeting style was normal behaviour, and they thought my quietness meant I had nothing to say.

My role was to challenge the status quo. Achieving this within mainstream leadership styles was the unspoken preference. Speaking is highly valued in mainstream culture, while respectful listening is highly valued in my culture. The expectation for me to conform to mainstream leadership styles cost me personally and professionally. When making points at a meeting requires me to interrupt other speakers my body fills with shame. When my ideas are disregarded because status quo is easier and preferred, I fail in my role. If I impatiently resort to shouting, as a racialized Indigenous woman, I risk being stereotyped as an ‘angry Indian’².

2 The Provincial Health Services Authority’s San’yas Indigenous Cultural Safety training program identifies the ‘Angry Indian’ as a common stereotype held by mainstream Canadians. <http://www.sanyas.ca> (accessed May 5, 2016).

The health authority I worked at subscribed to and promoted specific performance measures. Our knowledge, skills and attitudes was measured within the LEADS³ framework, a series of domains attributed to leadership capabilities. Within the domain of *Engaging Others*, health leaders are expected to communicate effectively by listening well and encouraging an open exchange of information and ideas using appropriate communication tools. Respectful listening as part of dialogue works only if it becomes a normative practice within the organization. Not all leaders need to practice this for it to be a norm, but it does have to be generally recognized that this is the way it should work.

Questioning and challenging the status quo is the LEADS competency within the domain of *System Transformation*⁴, which was the primary purpose of my role. However, the system resisted and discouraged change. One executive described my advocacy for equitable services for Indigenous people as a can of worms better left unopened. Other leaders felt I was biased and needed to develop a 'neutral' approach. I knew I would have more career success within the organization if I took a mainstream approach. If I called out the agency's obvious white privilege bias, and bureaucratic foot dragging, I would not be considered a leadership team player.

I began to think about Paulo Freire's (1970, p.54) comment, "*The oppressed must be their own example in the struggle for their redemption.*" I understood I might be more effective and culturally safer if I was pushing for change from the safety of an Indigenous organization.

3 The health authority subscribed to and promoted specific performance measures. My leadership was measured within the framework: LEADS in a Caring Environment Capabilities Framework <http://www.phsa.ca/medical-staff/Documents/CMA%20Leadership2014%20Catalogue.pdf> (accessed March 26, 2016).

4 Ibid.

3 Innovation Program Development Process

My team started building a decolonizing anti-racism training program to address the high suicide rate of Indigenous youth. This program would tell the missing story of Canada's colonization of Indigenous peoples so Indigenous youth would know why they experience racism, poverty and exclusion. We wanted youth to focus their acute colonial trauma and sense of hopelessness on constructive anger and outrage. We mentored youth to be able to contribute to and eventually lead in program innovation. Mentoring took time but would ultimately increase program relevancy for the youth that needed this program.

The mainstream health authority offered no services to stem the high rates of Indigenous youth suicide so this project became a key deliverable. Public expectation and accountability was increased. Instead of our plan to slowly develop it, the project now had to be completed within the year. To ensure this timeline, another relevant department was ordered to assist my team with project design, development, and funding. With no Indigenous staff, nor apparent experience working with Indigenous people, the department joined us. They bullied, diminished, dismissed and ignored our Indigenous expertise. Their team barely disguised their contempt for my team, including our elder advisor who had done his best to help them understand the issues Indigenous youth were facing. The deadlines were paramount. We could see they had no knowledge of Indigenous youth. Duran and Duran (1995) aptly describe the frustration my team experienced; the western tools and ideologies oppressing Indigenous youth and resulting in suicide epidemics were the very tools and ideologies being used to try to solve the problem.

My team found these behaviours offensive. Their objections and suggestions were being ignored, and they became increasingly silent at meetings. The contributions of the few Indigenous youth on the project were minimized or dismissed. When I challenged their team leader there was only one response, "*We need to get this project done by the deadline*". Our partners were arrogantly moving straight to solution without knowing the issues

and challenges. Since there was no safety in debriefing with our partners, we spent hours debriefing after meetings. My team was stressed, angry, and looking for work elsewhere. This project aggressively reinforced the very problem of Indigenous youth powerlessness and hopelessness that it was intended to address.

The project was measured for the outcome of getting it done on time. Their leadership was measured by and rewarded for meeting this deadline. Quality and appropriateness were not measured. The rushed and poor-quality project failed the pilot test with Indigenous youth. My team quietly spent the next two years deconstructing and rebuilding the project in full collaboration with Indigenous youth.

Table 1 provides recommendations for how mainstream leaders can transform their practices to attain higher quality results by adopting Indigenous leadership approaches that work. Investing in a process that sets the stage and tone for going forward with projects can seem unwieldy and time-consuming, but the enhanced outcomes are well worth the investment.

Table 1: Mainstream leaders adopting Indigenous approaches

Participating in Meetings	
Indigenous approaches	Change Mainstream Approaches in this way
Meetings begin with a group centering itself in relation to the great spirit through prayer or chant. An elder focuses participants on a common goal.	Assume everyone needs reminding at every meeting about the greater intention - the bigger picture. The leader reminds members of inclusive and equitable rules of engagement.

<p>Introductions locate one's relationship to the land and to Indigeneity. We leave our rank and credentials out so others are not influenced by them.</p>	<p>Enable relational engagement so participants can get past intimidating organizational hierarchy. Ask participants to leave their credentials and titles out in order to enhance innovation and problem solving.</p>
<p>Listening is highly valued and a sign of respect and wisdom. Appreciatively let statements and ideas land. When sharing expertise, be sure it is what the group is looking for. Only offer more if you are invited to do so.</p>	<p>Set a tone for engaged listening. Set an expectation for appreciation and reflection, rather than competitiveness. Don't use status or power to take up space. Be sure your expertise is welcome and applicable.</p>
<p>Reflection Agenda – Are we still on track? Are these the right questions and issues to be asked and addressed?</p>	<p>Review/revise action agenda with regular critical analysis and self-reflection, i.e., Is my white or settler privileged lens inhibiting an equity analysis? What is the future cost if this action is not the right action?</p>
<p>Innovation Program Development Process</p>	
<p>Idea generating is a cooperative process within a safe, creative environment.</p>	<p>Involve and be inclusive of people from end users to leaders.</p>
<p>Program development processes are circular, and driven by group consensus, quality, time and budget. Circle back to ensure we are on track.</p>	<p>Let go of linear and hierarchical thinking to enable innovation. Let alternative ideas flourish. Ask the group if the process is on track.</p>
<p>There is collective responsibility for success but the leader takes responsibility for failure. It is safe to examine and learn from failure.</p>	<p>Transparently revisit a decision to see if it still holds value. Accepting and learning from failure earlier will cost less than paying later.</p>

Modesty is valued. The leader ensures credit is distributed to the team. The leader makes ‘we’ statements about the innovation. Leaders nominate junior staff for awards.	Place credit for innovation with the entire team. Nominate your team for an award; don’t expect them to nominate you.
Debriefing and Reflecting	
Debriefing is a mutually accountable non-hierarchical learning process. All team members openly check their experiences. It is a process of reflectively unravelling complexity.	Enable appreciative debriefing with time and space for complexity to unfold. Ensure examination of all sides of an issue.
Leadership sets a tone of safety by being first to genuinely reflect on a mistake.	Be humble. Be the first to reflectively learn from what hasn’t worked.
It takes time to fully understand what has happened. Periodically peeling back another layer helps to unfold new insights.	Come back and process again. Actively dwelling on the past can provide valuable insight.

4 Debriefing and Reflecting Process

An Indigenous leadership value is modesty. For example, in debriefing and reflecting, I credit my team for success and expose my mistakes. The team critically reflects on both success and failure, knowing it is safe to learn and grow from both.

My experiences in mainstream leadership are such that I am expected to reflect privately on failure and only report my success. I might have a confidential conversation with my boss about my mistake – off the record if my boss wanted to protect or promote me. In order to receive an annual raise based on an exceptional performance review we would need to agree on my

success in every measurable area, all of the time, throughout the year⁵. An admitted mistake or deficiency would correlate to a reduced raise or none at all. This process does not engender humility, critical self-reflection, learning or growth from mistakes. Clearly, leaders regularly game this system to get their annual raise. Yet wouldn't most of us agree that our wisdom comes far more from learning from our failures than from our successes?

5 Conclusion

While hiring Indigenous leaders to advance mainstream system transformation is laudable it can be a hypocritical action. For Indigenous leaders, navigating the current ill-fitting and unexamined mainstream culture remains difficult, a set-up for failure at worst and status quo at best.

From small project failures like the youth project I described to avoiding a diversity approach in recruiting health leadership, mainstream leadership often ignores the signs of prospective failures and missed opportunities for success. The costs are substantial when leaders are not self-reflective. Leaders repeat the mistake of relentlessly moving a program forward without regularly reflecting on whether it is on target in terms of relevancy, appropriateness and quality, as well as budget and timeline.

My Indigenous colleagues remind me change happens slowly. Old outdated, institutional and colonial approaches towards Indigenous people are no longer acceptable. Most Indigenous leaders need to be able to navigate both mainstream and Indigenous systems. We are required to know both, and in doing so, we learn to apply other lenses, including gender and equity. Given Canada's current commitment to decolonization and reconciliation with Indigenous Canadians, developing Indigenous style leadership skills

5 Within the health sector my leadership (knowledge, skills and attitudes) was measured within the LEADS in a Caring Environment Capabilities Framework <http://www.phsa.ca/medical-staff/Documents/CMA%20Leadership2014%20Catalogue.pdf> (accessed March 3, 2016).

and ability to critically reflect on discussions and decisions through multiple lenses is a strength all leaders should develop. Expecting Indigenous and marginalized groups to do all the equity and culturally inclusive analysis for mainstream leaders (and watching mainstream leaders dismiss it because it is too difficult to implement) is a characteristic of the outdated colonial ideology that has been holding back Canada's progress.

I meet and work with Canadians committed to decolonization and reconciliation, who want to know how to be better allies with Indigenous people. I'm asked to explain my culture to them so they can better understand me. In response I ask these leaders to critically reflect on their own assumptions, habits and traditions so they can better understand themselves.

References

- Duran, E. Duran, B. (1995), *Native American Postcolonial Psychology*. State University of New York Press, Albany.
- Freire, Paulo. (1970), *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Bloomsbury Academic, New York.
- Mezirow, J. (2000), *Learning as Transformation - Critical Perspectives on a Theory in Progress*. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco.

About the authors

Heesoon Bai, Ph.D. is Professor of Philosophy of Education in the Faculty of Education at Simon Fraser University in Canada. She researches and writes in the intersections of ethics, ecological worldviews, contemplative ways, and Asian philosophies. She is also a practicing psychotherapist. You can find Professor Bai's published works at <http://summit.sfu.ca/collection/204>. Her faculty profile at SFU can be found at <http://www.sfu.ca/education/faculty-profiles/hbai.html>.

Contact: hbai@sfu.ca

Tom Brown holds an MBA and a Doctorate in Educational Leadership from Simon Fraser University. He has an academic and practical background in communications, program development and instructional design. His research interests are located at the intersection of university teaching and business ethics with a particular interest in online education. Since 1998 he has held a variety of senior administrative positions in the Beedie School of Business at Simon Fraser University. Currently he teaches business ethics and serves as Academic Director of the Part Time MBA and the online Graduate Diploma in Business Administration.

David Chang is a doctoral student in the Faculty of Education at Simon Fraser University. His research looks at ecological ethics, contemplative practice and sustainable communities. David has worked as a teacher and teacher educator in both secondary and post-secondary institutions.

Contact: dchangh@sfu.ca

Avraham Cohen, Ph.D., R.C.C., C.C.C. is Professor at City University of Seattle in Vancouver BC, Canada and serves as the Associate-Director for the Master in Counselling program. Since 1987 he has conducted a private psychotherapy practice in Vancouver BC. His recent book publications are *Becoming Fully Human Within Educational Environments: Inner Life*,

Relationship, and Learning, and Speaking of Learning: Recollections, Revelations, and Realizations.

Contact: acohen@cityu.edu

Larry Green is a psychotherapist in private practice and an Associate Professor at City University of Seattle, Canada. His doctoral dissertation (Simon Fraser University) explored the relationship between the prereflective (intuitive) self and the reflective mind. He believes that the prereflective self is more adept than the latter for registering one's immediate situation. The reflective mind often fails to recognize any phenomena that can't be integrated into its pre-existing conceptual categories. Given this orientation, Green's teaching and therapeutic approach emphasizes attending to one's immediate situation and then developing a symbol, image or metaphor that communicates an understanding of the team's situated challenges.

Contact: genero@telus.net

Keith Hunter is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Organization, Leadership and Communication at the University of San Francisco's School of Management. A veteran of the US Navy, he earned his PhD in Organizational Behavior and Management at Carnegie Mellon University in 2011. His primary research interests involve leadership, organizational culture and social network dynamics. Dr. Hunter's teaching spans both the graduate and undergraduate levels, featuring courses in management, leadership, team dynamics and power and influence. A modeling and simulation enthusiast, Dr. Hunter also holds BS and MS degrees in computer science from the University of Central Florida.

Contact: keith.o.hunter@gmail.com or kohunter@usfca.edu

Huw Jones is a business and social research consultant and registered casual academic at the University of Newcastle (UON). Dr. Jones earned his Doctor of Business Administration at UON in 2014; his doctoral thesis examined the relationships between authentic corporate social responsibility

and organizational commitment in Australia. Dr. Jones' primary academic research interests include corporate social responsibility, organizational performance, and management accounting. In practice, Dr. Jones consults in business analytics, market research, and social research.

Contact: huwj001@gmail.com

Anne Litwin, PhD, is an organization development consultant, educator, researcher and executive coach. Anne has been a professional researcher and statistician, the CEO of her family retail business and is past-Chair of the Board of Directors of NTL Institute of Applied Behavioral Science. She is co-editor of the book *Managing in the Age of Change* and author of the recent book *New Rules for Women: Revolutionizing the Way Women Work Together* (2014), along with numerous journal articles. Anne received her PhD from Fielding Graduate University in Human and Organizational Systems in 2008. She lives in Boston.

Contact: www.annelitwin.com or annelitwin@earthlink.net

Alice MacGillivray is an independent consultant specializing in leadership and knowledge strategy. She began her formal education in the natural sciences and principles from nature continue to inform her work. Alice is also an Associate Faculty member with Royal Roads University and a Fellow with the Institute for Social Innovation at Fielding Graduate University. She has Master's degrees in Leadership and in Human Development and a PhD in Human and Organizational Systems. Alice lives on Gabriola Island on Canada's west coast.

Contact: www.4KM.net or Alice@4KM.net

Christian Stary received his Diploma degree in computer science from the Vienna University of Technology, Austria, in 1984, his Ph.D. degree in usability engineering, and also his Habilitation degree from the Vienna University of Technology, Austria, in 1988 and 1993, respectively. He is currently full Professor of Business Information Systems with the University of Linz.

His current research interests include the area of interactive distributed systems, with a strong focus on method-driven learning and explication technologies for personal capacity building and organizational development.

Leslie Varley, a member of the Killer Whale clan of the Nisga'a Nation, is a social justice advocate. She recently became the Executive Director of British Columbia Association of Aboriginal Friendship Centres. Previously Leslie held the Indigenous health portfolio at Provincial Health Services Authority where she co-lead the development of San'yas Indigenous Cultural Safety Training, a facilitated, online decolonizing anti-racism training program offered to the health and social justice sectors in three Canadian provinces. Leslie's community work has focused on ending violence against Indigenous women and girls. She holds a Master's in Business Administration from Simon Fraser University.

Contact: larvarley@gmail.com

Gary Wagenheim is adjunct management professor at the Beedie School of Business at Simon Fraser University and Aalto University Executive Education and former professor of organizational leadership at the School of Technology at Purdue University. His research and teaching interests are reflective practice, leadership, and organizational behavior. He owns and operates Wagenheim Advisory Group that provides corporate training, coaching, and organizational development programs. Dr. Wagenheim received a Ph.D. and a M.A. in Human and Organizational Systems from Fielding Graduate University, and a M.B.A. in Organizational Behavior/ Organizational Change and Development from Syracuse University. He lives in Vancouver, Canada.

Contact: wagenhei@sfu.ca

Next New Action

(3d)

Assess your creative potential for leadership and consulting

dates 2018 forthcoming

COS Curriculum

Creators for Organisations & Society

25 days & 1d/8h coaching for master's piece

Group in collective flow

(5d)

Deep dive generative group dynamics

Venice, 20.-24.3.2017

COS Conference active participation

(2,5d)

Engage on stage, show your intention and action for organisations & society

Venice, 19.-21.10.2017

Flow peer group

(3 x 1d)

Your homebase for orientation, integration & individual learning

Vienna, 3.12.2016

Integrating somatic intelligence in high performance teams

(4d)

Awaken somatic intelligence for generative change

Vienna, 25.-28.5.2017

Creating my Master's piece

Writers space *

Photography & Film *

Freestyle *

* choose one – or more (optional)

Craft your ideas and developments and bring them into the world. Act!

tbd. with participants

Whole System:

Co-Creating new structures for collaboration

(2,5d)

Futuring, working with large groups and networks for transformational change

Berlin, 27.-29.4.2017

The COS-Certified Curriculum „Creating Organisations & Society“

New Creations in Organisations & Society originate in the undivided source of sensing, feeling, thinking. Acting from there we make a difference. In this curriculum you will touch the source, develop your inner world and come out with new resources for action in the outer world. It's challenging for you and others!

We designed the curriculum for **mindful people** who:

- Wish to live and work closer to their calling and aspiration.
- Desire to go on a journey of transformation and tangible action.
- Want to intentionally achieve better, more creative results in the organisations they own or work for.
- Change their surroundings collaboratively, mindfully and powerfully.
- Direct intention and generative power towards shared development.
- Enter uncharted territory.

Here and now modules address individual, group and organisational learning spaces and offer learning on the spot in the here and now. You practice presencing and learn how to intervene in the moment - here and now. This is where immediate change happens.

Flow and grow together through action learning. You come closer to yourself, develop ways to generatively hold your many facets, connect with others in this way and manifest your actions from a fresh, supportive social network. A learning through experiencing and acting, experiencing and acting ...

Craft and manifest: During your learning journey you are continuously crafting your own masters' piece. This artistic, scientific or freestyle „piece of work“ is your gift and your challenge to yourself and to Organisations & Society: The one you work or live in or the one you are intending to create. A project development, a new business idea, a book, a new way of working and living.

Your calling triggers and shapes your learning journey throughout all modules. We support you in making a pearl-chain, your intentional learning process is the pearl string. – Beautiful!

COS Certified Curriculum: Creators for Organisation & Society

For more information please contact:

Dr. Andrea Schueller: a.schueller@cos-journal.com

Dr. Maria Spindler: m.spindler@cos-journal.com

Costs approx.: € 5.600,00 + VAT

We are happy to announce: Fresh COS-Creations in 2017!

The Group in Collective Flow. A Course in Group Dynamics.

March 20 – 24 2017, Venice, Italy

Dr. Andrea Schueller, Dr. Liselotte Zvacek, Prof. Bernadette Brinkmann

In a five days intense joint learning journey you look behind the curtain of co-creating meaningful systems while being an active, sensing and reflective part of this process. You experience highly practical and real-time learning while deepening the connection to your Self and the collective wisdom of the group as it emerges Here and Now. Accessing your conscious and unconscious mind you widen your repertoire for recognizing and changing patterns on a personal, interpersonal and systemic level - and inbetween. Surfing and crashing waves, understanding and moving with and against the currents, you and the collective become more: clear, fluent and (personally) experienced in co-creating (from) collective flow.

Integrating Somatic Intelligence in High Performance Teams.

May 25 – 28 2017, Vienna, Austria

Dr. Steven Gilligan & Team: Dr. Andrea Schueller, Dr. Maria Spindler, Eva Wieprecht, Dr. Liselotte Zvacek

Growing as a team and in team performance through only cognitive action is like swimming without water: it gets very dry...! You deepen your understanding and somatic practice to maintain and regain high levels of creativity nurtured from a state of multiple positive connections beyond ego state. At the heart of this process is attention to a person's and a team's state as the core difference that makes a difference; that is, the creative outcomes are only as good as the underlying state. Through cutting edge methodology, integrating Generative Change Work, Somatics and Generative Group Dynamics, you go on an experiential learning journey at the intersection of individual and collective self.

Anticipating the future with the Whole System: Co-creating new structures for collaboration.

April 27 – 29 2017, Berlin, Germany

Dr. Tonnie van der Zouwen, MCM

In this two-and-a-half days interactive training workshop, you explore and seriously play with a unique mix of practical theory and mindful practice: You will get familiar and “cook” with the principles of co-creation for enabling an organization or community to anticipate the future by creating new structures for collaboration. You become familiar with various methods and techniques for facilitating productive meetings with large groups of stakeholders (20 -> 1000 participants). Working with your own cases you start with planning and designing the process, learn how to work with a diverse planning group, opening up for and allowing distributed leadership.

Read more: www.cos-journal.com

Become a Friend & Member of COS!

Join the COS movement and become a Friend&Member of COS! COS is a home for reflective hybrids and a growing platform for co-creation of meaningful, innovative forms of working & living in and for organizations and society, between and beyond theory and practice. We invite you to become an active member of COS.

Being a part of COS you have access to our products and happenings. As a Friend&Member, you carry forward the COS intention of co-creating generative systems through mindful, fresh mind-body action. Let's connect in and for novel ways around the globe!

Access points for your participation & future contribution are:

- Mutual inspiration & support at the COS-Conference
- Development & transformation at COS-Creations Seminars
- Creative scientific publishing & reading between and beyond theory and practice
- COS LinkedIn Virtual Community
- And more ...

The Friend&Membership fee is € 200,- + 20% VAT for 18 months. Why 18 months? We synchronize the Friend&Membership cycle with the COS-conference rhythm and 3 COS journal editions.

Your 18 month COS Friend & Membership includes:

- 3 editions of the COS-journal: 2 hard copies, one for you and one for a friend of yours = 6 hard copies 3 issues for the value of € 169.-
- Conference fee discount of € 150.-
- COS-Creations: Special discount of 25 % for one seminar of your choice each year

Send your application for membership to office@cos-journal.com

Join COS, a Home for Reflective Hybrids

The future is an unknown garment that invites us to weave our lives into it. How these garments will fit, cover, colour, connect and suit us lies in our (collective) hands. Many garments from the past have become too tight, too grey, too something...and the call for new shapes and textures is acknowledged by many. Yet changing clothes leaves one naked, half dressed in between. Let's connect in this creative, vulnerable space and cut, weave and stitch together.

Our target group is reflective hybrids – leaders, scientists, consultants, and researchers from all over the world who dare to be and act complex. Multi-layered topics require multidimensional approaches that are, on the one hand, interdisciplinary and, on the other hand, linked to theory and practice, making the various truths and perspectives mutually useful.

If you feel you are a reflective hybrid you are very welcome to join our COS movement, for instance by:

- Visiting our website: www.cos-journal.com
- Getting in touch with COS-Creations. A space for personal & collective development, transformation and learning. Visit our website: www.cos-journal.com/cos-creations/
- Following our COS-Conference online: www.cos-journal.com/conference2016
- Subscribing to our newsletter: see www.cos-journal.com/newsletter
- Subscribing to the COS Journal: see www.cos-journal.com/buy-subscribe
- Ordering single articles from the COS Journal: www.cos-journal.com/buy-articles-pdf
- Becoming a member of our LinkedIn group: go to www.linkedin.com and type in "Challenging Organisations and Society.reflective hybrids" or contact Tonnie van der Zouwen on t.vanderzouwen@cos-journal.com

Order COS Journals and COS Articles

Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids®

Mental Leaps into Challenging Organisations and Society

Volume 1, Issue 1, October 2012

Editor: Maria Spindler (A)

Reflective Hybrids in Management and Consulting

Volume 2, Issue 1, May 2013

Editors: Maria Spindler (A),

Gary Wagenheim (CA)

Involving Stakeholders to Develop Change Capacity for More Effective Collaboration and Continuous Change

Volume 2, Issue 2, October 2013

Editor: Tonnie van der Zouwen (NL)

Different Culture, Different Rhythms

Volume 3, Issue 1, May 2014

Editor: Karin Lackner (DE)

On the Move: Patterns, Power, Politics

Volume 3, Issue 2, October 2014

Editors: Maria Spindler (A) and

Tonnie van der Zouwen (NL)

Positive Deviance Dynamics in Social Systems

Volume 4, Issue 1, May 2015

Editors: Maria Spindler (A) and

Gary Wagenheim (CA)

Elaborating the Theory – Practice Space: Professional Competence in Science, Therapy, Consulting and Education

Volume 4, Issue 2, October 2015

Editors: Ilse Schrittmesser (A) and

Maria Spindler (A)

Change in Flow: How Critical Incidents Transform Organisations

Volume 5, Issue 1, May 2016

Editors: Nancy Wallis (US) & Maria Spindler (A)

Leadership That Counts

Volume 5, Issue 2, October 2016

Editors: Tom Brown (CA), Gary Wagenheim (CA)

each € 28,- plus shipping costs

Subscription of the COS Journal

The journal is published semi-annually (May and October). The price of an annual subscription is € 50,- plus shipping costs (two issues each year).

The subscription can be terminated until 31.12. for the next year.

Order the COS Journal

for € 10,- per article at www.cos-journal.com
www.cos-journal.com/buy-articles-pdf

Order and subscribe the COS Journal
at www.cos-journal.com
www.cos-journal.com/buy-subscribe/
or mail us to sales@cos-journal.com

SAVE THE DATE
3rd COS Conference
19. – 21. October 2017
In Venice, Italy

ANNOUNCEMENT – MAY 2017

Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids®

Volume 6, Issue 1

Title: Inner Outer Spaces

Editors: Maria Spindler (A), Christian Stary (A)

The Journal with Impact

The Journal “Challenging Organisations and Society . reflective hybrids® (COS)” is the first journal to be dedicated to the rapidly growing requirements of reflective hybrids in our complex 21st-century organisations and society. Its international and multidisciplinary approaches balance theory and practice and show a wide range of perspectives in and between organisations and society. Being global and diverse in thinking and acting outside the box are the targets for its authors and readers in management, consulting and science.